Roy H. Wepner Charles P. Kennedy Natalie S. Richer LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West Westfield, NJ 07090-1497

Tel: 908.654.5000 Fax: 908.654.7866

OF COUNSEL

Steven M. Levitan (admitted *pro hac vice*) HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP 4085 Campbell Avenue Suite 100 Menlo Park, CA 94025

Tel: 650.463.4000 Fax: 650.463.4199

v.

Defendants.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ezaki Glico Kabushiki Kaisha, d/b/a Ezaki Glico Co., Ltd. and Ezaki Glico USA Corp.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

EZAKI GLICO KABUSHIKI KAISHA, d/b/a :

EZAKI GLICO CO., LTD., and : Civil Action No. 15-5477-MCA-LDW

EZAKI GLICO USA CORPORATION,

: District Judge Madeline Cox Arleo
Plaintiffs, : Magistrate Judge Leda Dunn Wettre

: Return Date: November 5, 2018

LOTTE INTERNATIONAL AMERICA CORP., : Time: 10:00 a.m. and LOTTE CONFECTIONARY CO. LTD., :

: ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

PLAINTIFFS' EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS IN REPLY TO
DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs Ezaki Glico Kabushiki Kaisha, d/b/a Ezaki Glico Co., Ltd. and Ezaki Glico USA Corp. ("Plaintiffs" or "Glico") submit these additional evidentiary objections to certain items of purported "evidence" cited by Defendants Lotte Confectionary Co. Ltd. and Lotte International America Corp. ("Defendants" or "Lotte") in their "Opposition to Plaintiffs' Partial Motion for Summary Judgment on Defendants' Affirmative Defenses of Prior User and Fraud" (Dkt. No. 257), and in their Responsive Statement of Disputed Facts and Supplemental Statement of Undisputed Material Facts (Dkt. No. 257-1).

Summary judgment is appropriate if "the moving party has shown that the evidentiary material on the record, *if reduced to admissible evidence*, is insufficient to permit the nonmoving party to carry its burden of proof, and there are no disputes as to issues of material fact." *Palan v. Inovio Pharm. Inc.*, 653 F. App'x 97, 99–100 (3d Cir. 2016) (citing *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 322–23 (1986)) (emphasis added). Further, affidavits and declarations considered on summary judgment must "set out facts that would be admissible in evidence." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c)(4). Glico objects to the specific items listed herein, submitted in support of Lotte's Opposition brief (Dkt. No. 257) and accompanying filings, on the grounds that they cannot be presented in a form that would be admissible in evidence. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c)(2).

Glico respectfully requests the Court to exclude Lotte's inadmissible evidence from consideration when deciding Glico's motion. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)(4).

| Source | Citations | Basis for Objection | Objection Details | Court's Decision |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Hallerman | Dkt. 257-1, | Fed. R. Evid. 602, | | ☐ Sustained |
| Exhibit 53 | Lotte's | 802, 803, 805 | | |
| EZAKI0184924 | Responsive | | | ☐ Overruled |
| (Dkt. 229-53) | Statement of | | | |
| | Disputed | | | |
| | Facts, ¹ ¶¶ | | | |
| | 46, 48, 49, | | | |
| | 73, 79, 81, | | | |
| | 88, 89, 90, | | | |
| | 91; Dkt. 257- | | | |
| | 1, Lotte's | | | |
| | Supplemental | | | |
| | SUMF, ¶¶ 4, | | Dkt. 227-1, ¶ 70; Dkt. 227-36; | |
| | 6, 7; Dkt. | | Langbord v. U.S. Dep't of Treasury, 832 F.3d 170, 191 | |
| | 257, Lotte's | | (3d Cir. 2016), 137 S. Ct. 1578(2017)(noting that while | |
| | Opp. to | | a document might be admissible under FRE 803(16), | |
| | Glico's MSJ | | that does not necessarily justify admitting hearsay | |
| | pp. 5, 8. | | statements contained therein because "there is no | |
| | | | guarantee that a hearsay statement contained in the | |
| | | | ancient document is accurate" and "stronger precedent | |
| | | | supports the application of Rule 805 [hearsay within | |
| | | | hearsay] to ancient documents"); Fed. R. Evid. 803, | |
| | | | Notes of Advisory Committee on Proposed Rules | |
| | | | (2014)("In a hearsay situation, the declarant is, of | |
| | | | course, a witness, and neither this rule nor Rule 804 | |
| | | | dispenses with the requirement of firsthand | |
| | | | knowledge"). | |

_

¹ Dkt. 257-1 refers to Defendants' Responsive Statement of Disputed Facts and Supplemental Statement of Undisputed Material Facts.

Case 2:15-cv-05477-MCA-LDW Document 285-2 Filed 11/09/18 Page 4 of 5 PageID: 11323

Confidential – Subject to Motion to Seal

| Source | Citations | Basis for Objection | Objection Details | Court's Decision |
|--|--|------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Hallerman Exhibit 73 LOTTE00001716– 19. (Dkt. 229-73) | Dkt. 257-1, Lotte's Responsive Statement of Disputed Facts, ¶ 45; Dkt. 257-1, Lotte's Supplemental SUMF, ¶ 11 | Fed. R. Evid. 901 | Lotte cannot authenticate this picture, which purportedly shows packages of Pepero in the Original, Almond, White Cookie, and Nude varieties. There is nothing to indicate the origin of this photograph or the sourcing of what it depicts. | ☐ Sustained ☐ Overruled |
| Expert Report of Leon Levine (Dkt. 229-5) | Dkt. 257-1, Lotte's Responsive Statement of Disputed Facts,¶ 45 | Fed. R. Evid. 802 | Lotte cites the Expert Report of Leon Levine, ¶¶ 61–62, Expert reports are inadmissible hearsay. | ☐ Sustained ☐ Overruled |

Dated: November 8, 2018 Respectfully submitted, /s/ Roy H. Wepner

Roy H. Wepner Charles P. Kennedy Natalie S. Richer LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West Westfield, NJ 07090-1497 Tel: 908.654.5000

Fax: 908.654.7866

/s/ Steven M. Levitan

Steven M. Levitan (admitted *pro hac vice*) HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP 4085 Campbell Avenue Suite 100 Menlo Park, CA 94025 Tel: 650 463 4000

Tel: 650.463.4000 Fax: 650.463.4199

Anna Kurian Shaw
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
Katherine Bastian
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
Lauren Cury
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
555 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: 202.637.5600

Aaron S. Oakley (admitted *pro hac vice*) Katherine Armstrong Nelson (admitted *pro hac vice*) HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP 1601 Wewatta St #900 Denver, CO 80202 Tel: (303) 899-7300

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ezaki Glico Kabushiki Kaisha, d/b/a Ezaki Glico Co., Ltd. and Ezaki Glico USA Corporation